4/3/96 Judge Marsh Unpublished

Judge Marsh adopted the findings of the Bankruptcy Court (Judge Perris - P96-7(10) dated 3/11/96) regarding Robert Tank's violations of 11 U.S.C. § 110(i), and awarded damages and attorney fees.

P96-12(4)

## FILED

95 APR - 3 PH 12: 43

ingle et

CLERK, U.S BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

APR - 3 1996

LODGED RECD PAID DOCKETED W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

10 In Re:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EDWIN L. STACY and SUSAN STACY,

Debtors.

Misc. No. 96-33-MA Bankr. No. 395-33618-elp7

JUDGMENT ORDER

MARSH, Judge.

In consideration of the findings of fact and conclusions of law made by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in its opinion dated March 11, 1996 regarding the matter of Robert Tank which has been certified to this court pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 110(i) on the motions of Edward C. Hostmann, the Chapter 7 trustee for the Stacy bankruptcy estate, and after notice and a hearing in open court on March 27, 1996, it is HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 110(i) that:

- (1) the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in the opinion of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court dated March 11, 1996 are ADOPTED by this court;
- (2) JUDGMENT Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) is hereby rendered in favor of Edwin and Susan Stacy and against Robert Tank,

1 - ORDER

Dated: 10/16

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives as to the Stacys' bankruptcy case;

The court finds no convincing or non-speculative evidence of actual damages and rejects the Stacys claim of entitlement to non-economic damages. The court finds no support in either the legislative history or case law for the Stacys' claim of entitlement to damages for emotional distress. The court further rejects the Stacys' claim for filing fees and preparation fees since the \$2,000 penalty is awarded in lieu of such expenses.

The court further declines to impose a separate \$2,0000 penalty payable to the estate arising from Susan Stacy's first bankruptcy filing. Although the violations found by the bankruptcy court derive from two different bankruptcy petitions, the petitions were related and part of a single, ongoing proceeding such that the imposition of a separate penalty would constitute inappropriate double counting. Further, the violations were addressed in a single motion filed by the trustee and were certified to this court as a single action.

- (3) JUDGMENT in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) is hereby rendered in favor of Edward C. Hostmann, individually, the movant/trustee of the Stacy estate and against Robert Tank, dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives.
- (4) In further consideration of the application of Peter C. McKittrick, counsel for the moving parties herein, attorney's fees in the amount of \$3011.15 and costs in the amount of \$94.35 are APPROVED and JUDGMENT in these sums is hereby rendered in favor of Peter C. McKittrick and against Robert Tank, dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives. Having reviewed the affidavit

of counsel, the court has reduced the fee request by amounts counsel concedes are not directly related to the prosecution of this action. The court has further reduced time entries by one-half for work with the U.S. trustee on the separate injunction proceeding against Mr. Tank. In addition, the court found legal research time excessive in light of the absence of relevant case law or legislative history and thus, has reduced the fee award for time spent on research tasks by one-half.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the docketing of this order shall constitute the entry of judgment as contemplated in Fed. R. Civ. P. 58;

The statutory damages awarded herein are in addition to and not in lieu of the fines and injunctive penalties directed by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. This court will retain jurisdiction to the extent necessary to enforce the provisions of this judgment order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2 day of April, 1996.

Malcolm F. Marsh

United States District Judge

In re Edwin L. and Susan Stacy, Case No. 395-33618-elp7 / Dist.
Court Case No. 96-33-MA

4/3/96

Judge Marsh

Unpublished

Judge Marsh adopted the findings of the Bankruptcy Court (Judge Perris - P96-7(10) dated 3/11/96) regarding Robert Tank's violations of 11 U.S.C. § 110(i), and awarded damages and attorney fees.

P96-12(4)

## FILED

95 APR - 3 PH 12: 43

ingle et

CLERK, U.S BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON

APR - 3 1996

LODGED RECD PAID DOCKETED W

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

10 In Re:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EDWIN L. STACY and SUSAN STACY,

Debtors.

Misc. No. 96-33-MA Bankr. No. 395-33618-elp7

JUDGMENT ORDER

MARSH, Judge.

In consideration of the findings of fact and conclusions of law made by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court in its opinion dated March 11, 1996 regarding the matter of Robert Tank which has been certified to this court pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 110(i) on the motions of Edward C. Hostmann, the Chapter 7 trustee for the Stacy bankruptcy estate, and after notice and a hearing in open court on March 27, 1996, it is HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 110(i) that:

- (1) the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in the opinion of the U.S. Bankruptcy Court dated March 11, 1996 are ADOPTED by this court;
- (2) JUDGMENT Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000) is hereby rendered in favor of Edwin and Susan Stacy and against Robert Tank,

1 - ORDER

Dated: 10/16

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

Donald M. Cinnamond, Clerk

dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives as to the Stacys' bankruptcy case;

The court finds no convincing or non-speculative evidence of actual damages and rejects the Stacys claim of entitlement to non-economic damages. The court finds no support in either the legislative history or case law for the Stacys' claim of entitlement to damages for emotional distress. The court further rejects the Stacys' claim for filing fees and preparation fees since the \$2,000 penalty is awarded in lieu of such expenses.

The court further declines to impose a separate \$2,0000 penalty payable to the estate arising from Susan Stacy's first bankruptcy filing. Although the violations found by the bankruptcy court derive from two different bankruptcy petitions, the petitions were related and part of a single, ongoing proceeding such that the imposition of a separate penalty would constitute inappropriate double counting. Further, the violations were addressed in a single motion filed by the trustee and were certified to this court as a single action.

- (3) JUDGMENT in the sum of One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000) is hereby rendered in favor of Edward C. Hostmann, individually, the movant/trustee of the Stacy estate and against Robert Tank, dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives.
- (4) In further consideration of the application of Peter C. McKittrick, counsel for the moving parties herein, attorney's fees in the amount of \$3011.15 and costs in the amount of \$94.35 are APPROVED and JUDGMENT in these sums is hereby rendered in favor of Peter C. McKittrick and against Robert Tank, dba Legal Alternatives, dba Law Alternatives. Having reviewed the affidavit

of counsel, the court has reduced the fee request by amounts counsel concedes are not directly related to the prosecution of this action. The court has further reduced time entries by one-half for work with the U.S. trustee on the separate injunction proceeding against Mr. Tank. In addition, the court found legal research time excessive in light of the absence of relevant case law or legislative history and thus, has reduced the fee award for time spent on research tasks by one-half.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the docketing of this order shall constitute the entry of judgment as contemplated in Fed. R. Civ. P. 58;

The statutory damages awarded herein are in addition to and not in lieu of the fines and injunctive penalties directed by the U.S. Bankruptcy Court. This court will retain jurisdiction to the extent necessary to enforce the provisions of this judgment order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2 day of April, 1996.

Malcolm F. Marsh

United States District Judge